

CONSTRUCTION OF AN HOMOLOGY AND A COHOMOLOGY THEORY

ASSOCIATED TO A FIRST ORDER FORMULA

by René GUITART

RESUME - On montre comment chaque formule  $\phi$  d'un langage  $\mathcal{L}$  détermine une théorie d'homologie (et une théorie de cohomologie) sur la catégorie des interprétations de  $\mathcal{L}$ , dont la valeur sur chaque interprétation  $I$  de  $\mathcal{L}$  est une obstruction à  $I \models \phi$  "à des co-équations près" ( et "à des équations près").

This paper is a sequel of [7].

0. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a first order language, let  $I$  be an interpretation of  $\mathcal{L}$ , and let  $\phi$  be a formula of  $\mathcal{L}$ . The aim of this note is to indicate a way in which it is possible to measure partially and to compute how  $I$  is far from the models of  $\phi$ .

In the papers [7] and [8] it is shown how this question is connected with the possibility of a geometrical study of algorithms and ambiguities.

1. PROPOSITION. Let  $\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a first order formula of  $\mathcal{L}$ , and let  $\text{Mod}_\mu \phi$  be the category with objects the models of  $\phi$ , and with morphisms from  $M$  to  $M'$  the morphisms (of models of  $\phi$ )  $m : M \longrightarrow M'$  such that

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n [ \mu(m(x_1), \dots, m(x_n)) \longrightarrow \mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) ]$$

Then there is a small mixed sketch  $\sigma$  such that  $\text{Mod}_\mu \phi \cong \text{Mod}_\sigma$ .

The existence of  $\sigma$  is proved by the juxtaposition of proposition 3 p.8 of [6], théorème 2.1 p.26 of [5], and proposition 3 p.301 of [7],II. In fact, this juxtaposition shows more than our proposition here.

2. For  $C$  a category, let  $BC = |NC|$  be the geometric realization of the nerve of  $C$ .  $BC$  is a cw-complexe, and  $\pi_1 BC \cong C[C^{-1}]$  (the category of fractions of  $C$ ). Of course if  $C$  is a class,  $BC$  is a class too. But, if  $C = \text{Mod}\sigma$  for a small sketch  $\sigma$ , then in  $BC$  we can construct a set  $g\sigma$  such that the inclusion  $g\sigma \longrightarrow B\text{Mod}\sigma$  is an equivalence of homotopy. In particular we get

**PROPOSITION.**  $\text{Mod}\sigma[(\text{Mod}\sigma)^{-1}]$  is a small groupoid, up to equivalence. We call it the fundamental groupoid of  $\sigma$ , and we denote it by  $\pi_1 g\sigma$ .

The existence of the set  $g\sigma$  comes from [7].

3. Let  $\text{Mod}_{\mu}\phi/I$  be the category with objects the morphisms (of interpretations of  $\mathcal{L}$ )  $f : M \longrightarrow I$  where  $M$  is a model of  $\phi$ , and with morphisms, from  $f : M \longrightarrow I$  to  $f' : M' \longrightarrow I$ , the morphisms of models ( morphisms of  $\text{Mod}_{\mu}\phi$ )  $g : M \longrightarrow M'$  such that  $f'.g = f$ .

Then

**PROPOSITION.** There is a small sketch  $\sigma = \sigma(\mathcal{L}, I, \phi, \mu)$  such that

$$\text{Mod}_{\mu}\phi/I \cong \text{Mod}\sigma.$$

So we get a small cw-complexe  $g\sigma(\mathcal{L}, I, \phi, \mu)$ , which is a geometric description of the position of  $I$  with respect to  $\text{Mod}_{\mu}\phi$ .

4. Let  $\mathbf{Ab}$  be the category of small abelian groups, and let  $F : \text{Mod}_{\mu}\phi \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  be a functor. (In particular  $F$  could be the constant functor on a fixed abelian group  $A$ , or it could be a "canonical" functor if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a language over the language of abelian groups, etc).

The André's homology measures "how  $I$  is far from  $\text{Mod}_{\mu}\phi$ , from the point of view of  $F$ ". In order to do that we consider the chain complex

$$\longrightarrow C_2(I, F) \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1(I, F) \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0(I, F) \xrightarrow{d_0} 0$$

which is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \sum FM_2 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & \sum FM_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \sum FM_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} 0 \\ & & M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow I & & M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow I & & M_0 \rightarrow I \end{array}$$

with  $d_1 = s_1^0 - s_1^1$ , where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s_1^0 : (FM_1)_{(M_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} M_0 \xrightarrow{\beta} I)} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & (FM_1)_{(M_1 \xrightarrow{\beta \cdot \alpha} I)} \xrightarrow{\text{Inc}} \sum FM \\ & & \text{M} \rightarrow I \\ s_1^1 : (FM_1)_{(M_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} M_0 \xrightarrow{\beta} I)} & \xrightarrow{F(\alpha)} & (FM_0)_{(M_0 \xrightarrow{\beta} I)} \xrightarrow{\text{Inc}} \sum FM \\ & & \text{M} \rightarrow I \end{array}$$

and so on, and we define

$H_0(I, F) = \ker d_0 / \text{Im } d_1 = \text{coker } d_1$ ,  $H_1(I, F) = \ker d_1 / \text{Im } d_2$ , and, for every  $n \geq 0$ ,  $H_n(I, F) = \ker d_n / \text{Im } d_{n+1}$ .

PROPOSITION.  $H_n(I, F)$  is a function of  $F, I, \mu, \phi$ , which in fact depends only of the homotopy type of  $\text{Mod}_\mu \phi / I$  and of  $F$  and could be denoted by  $H_n(\text{Mod}_\mu \phi / I, F)$ .

see [1], [2], [3] and [4].

Let  $\text{Int}\mathcal{L}$  be the category of interpretations of  $\mathcal{L}$ , let  $J : \text{Mod}\phi \longrightarrow \text{Int}\mathcal{L}$  be the canonical inclusion. Then the inductive Kan extension of  $F$  along  $J$  is given by

$$[\underline{\text{Ext}}_J F](I) = \text{Lim}_{M_0 \longrightarrow I} F(M_0)$$

and we have

$$H_0(I, F) = [\underline{\text{Ext}}_J F](I).$$

$$\text{If } I \models \phi, \text{ then } H_n(\text{Mod}_\mu \phi / I, F) = \begin{cases} F(I) & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

5. Now, the point is that, because of the results hereover (§§ 1 to 4), we get

PROPOSITION. *The tools of [1] and of [3], available in the situation where a full and small category  $M$  (called a category of "models") lives inside a big category of "spaces", are also available in the situation where a (possibly big and not necessarily full) category  $\text{Mod}_\mu \phi$  of models of a theory lives inside a big category of interpretations of a language  $\mathcal{L}$  (compare with the idea of "paires adéquates" p. 43 of [1]). Precisely here we get the fact that the  $H_n(\text{Mod}_\mu \phi/I, F)$  are small.*

6. After the existence of  $g\sigma$  proved in [7], the theorem hereunder §9 is just a second stone for a work to be pursued. Theoretically the computation of our  $H_n$  is based on the effective construction of a "locally cofree diagram", and more precisely on the construction of a "relatively cofiltered locally cofree diagram" (r.cf.l.cf.d.)(see [5] and [6]) (in the category  $\text{Mod}_\mu \phi$ ) generated by  $I$ . This r.cf.l.cf.d. contains all the information we need, and it will be the starting point of an absolute calculus. But for concrete situations we need a relative calculus, by the way of comparaisons between various  $H_n$ . For that it will be essential to go toward effective relative calculation of these small  $H_n$ , and especially we need a description of the link between these calculations and the theory of demonstrations. For example we need relations among  $H_n(\text{Mod}_\mu \phi/I, F)$ ,  $H_n(\text{Mod}_\mu \gamma/I, G)$ ,  $H_n(\text{Mod}_\mu [\phi \wedge \gamma]/I, K)$ ,  $H_n(\text{Mod}_\mu [\phi \rightarrow \gamma]/I, L)$  (for convenient  $K$  and  $L$ ). For that it will be necessary to describe the category  $\text{For}(\mathcal{L})$  of formulas of the language  $\mathcal{L}$ . At first this will be usefull to precise the functoriality of the  $H_n(\text{Mod}_\mu \phi/I, F)$  with respect to  $\phi$  and  $\mu$ .

7. The first purpose of this paper was to show precisely how each classical first order formula  $\phi$  of a language  $\mathcal{L}$  determines a "small" homology theory on the category of interpretations of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Now, the continuation of this research pass trough the description of  $\text{For}(\mathcal{L})$ . With respect to that, I would like to make the following remark : what have to be morphisms between formulas ? it is not so clear a priori ; they have to be "demonstrations" or "proofs", but there is no

canonical idea of what is a demonstration.

But if we decide to stay in (or to come back to) the style of sketches, a first picture is easy to give. In fact  $\mathcal{L}$  "is" a sketch  $\sigma_0$  (i.e. the category of interpretations of  $\mathcal{L}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Mod}\sigma_0$ ), the formula  $\phi$  (or  ${}_{\mu}\phi$ ) is a sketch  $\sigma$ , and the inclusion of the category of models of  $\phi$  (of  ${}_{\mu}\phi$ ) in the category of interpretations of  $\mathcal{L}$  is induced by a morphism of sketches  $P : \sigma_0 \longrightarrow \sigma$ . This  $P$  is the "proof" that a model of  $\phi$  (of  ${}_{\mu}\phi$ ) is an interpretation of  $\mathcal{L}$ . In fact  $P$  is not a general morphism of sketches, but determines  $\sigma$  as a  $\sigma_0$ -sketch (see [6] p.10 for the precise definition). So we choose to say now that a formula for  $\sigma_0$  (in the place of a  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula) is nothing but such a  $P$ , a  $\sigma_0$ -sketch. In [6] the boolean calculus of  $\sigma_0$ -sketches (conjunctions, disjunctions, complements) is exposed as construction in the category of sketches. Then we can define the category  $\text{For}(\sigma_0)$  as being the category of  $\sigma_0$ -sketches, as objects, with morphisms from  $P$  to  $P'$  the morphisms of sketches  $f : \sigma \longrightarrow \sigma'$  which determine  $\sigma'$  as a  $\sigma$ -sketch, such that  $f.P = P'$ .

At this level of language, we can change our notations, replacing  $\text{Mod}_{\mu}\phi$  by  $\text{Mod}\sigma$ , or even, more precisely, by  $P$ , and the  $H_n(\text{Mod}_{\mu}\phi/I, F)$  will be denoted by  $H_n(P/I, F)$ . Of course for general mixed sketches (and not only for those associated to first order formulas) the result in §5 works, and the abelian groups  $H_n(P/I, F)$  are small. Now

**PROPOSITION.** *The functoriality of these  $H_n$ , with respect to  $P$ ,  $I$  and  $F$  are trivial facts.*

8. In a dual way, given a functor  $F : \text{Mod}_{\mu}\phi \longrightarrow \text{Ab}$  and an interpretation  $I$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , the cohomology of  $I$  with coefficient in  $F$  is defined by considering the cochain complex

$$\longleftarrow C^2(I, F) \xleftarrow{d^1} C^1(I, F) \xleftarrow{d^0} C^0(I, F)$$

which is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \xleftarrow{d^1} & & \xleftarrow{d^0} \\
& & \prod FM_2 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \prod FM_1 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \prod FM_0 \\
& M_2 \leftarrow M_1 \leftarrow M_0 \leftarrow I & & M_1 \leftarrow M_0 \leftarrow I & & M_0 \leftarrow I
\end{array}$$

with

$$d^1(x)_{(I \xrightarrow{\lambda} M_0 \xrightarrow{\lambda} M_1)} = F(\lambda)(x_{(I \xrightarrow{\lambda} M_0)}) - x_{(I \xrightarrow{\lambda} M_1)}$$

and so on, and we define  $H^n(I, F) = \ker d^n / \text{Im } d^{n-1}$ .

For these cohomology groups, the same result is true, that is to say that they are small. But now, the computation is based on the effective construction of a "relatively filtered locally free diagram" (r.f.l.f.d.) (in the category  $\text{Mod}_\mu \phi$ ) generated by  $I$ . These cohomology groups will be denoted by  $H^n((I/\text{Mod}_\mu \phi)^{\text{op}}, F)$ .

9. Collecting the results of §5, §7 and §8, we get :

**THEOREM** : The abelian groups  $H_n(\text{Mod}_\mu \phi/I, F)$  and  $H^n((I/\text{Mod}_\mu \phi)^{\text{op}}, F)$  are small, i.e. they are elements of the category **Ab**, they are functorial with respect to  $I, F, \mu$  and  $\phi$ , and if  $I \models \phi$ , then  $H_n(\text{Mod}_\mu \phi/I, F) = 0$ , for every  $n > 0$ , and  $H^n((I/\text{Mod}_\mu \phi)^{\text{op}}, F) = 0$ , for  $n > 0$ . In fact, more precisely, we have  $H_n(\text{Mod}_\mu \phi/I, F) = 0$ , for every  $n > 0$ , if there is a cofree model generated by  $I$ , and we have  $H^n((I/\text{Mod}_\mu \phi)^{\text{op}}, F) = 0$ , for  $n > 0$ , if there is a free model generated by  $I$ . So they are small obstructions to the satisfaction of  $\phi$  in  $I$  "up to co-equations" and "up to equations".

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. André, *Méthode simpliciale en algèbre homologique et algèbre commutative*, S.L.N. 32, 1967.
- [2] M. Evrard, *Homotopie d'un espace topologique relativement à un recouvrement. Applications à l'homotopie des préschémas*, Thèse d'Etat, Université Paris 7, 1973.

- [3] M. Evrard, *Fibrations de petites catégories*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 103, p.241-265, 1975.
- [4] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory, I*, S.L.N. 341, p.85-147, 1973.
- [5] R. Guitart et C. Lair, *Calcul syntaxique des modèles et calcul des formules internes*, Diagrammes, vol. 4, 106 p., 1980.
- [6] R. Guitart et C. Lair, *Limites et co-limites pour représenter les formules*, Diagrammes, vol. 7, 24 p., 1982.
- [7] R. Guitart, *On the geometry of computations, I and II*, Cahiers Top. Géo. Diff. Cat., vol. XXVII-4 (1986), p. 107-136, et vol. XXIX-4 (1988), p. 297-326.
- [8] R. Guitart, *L'unité de la théorie des modèles et de l'algèbre homologique* (conf. at the Summer meeting on Category Theory, Louvain-la-Neuve, July 26 - Aug 1, 1987), in Cahiers Top. Géo. Diff. Cat. XXX-3 (1989).

U.F.R. Maths, Tours 45-55, 5<sup>ème</sup> ét., Université. PARIS 7,  
2 place Jussieu, 75005, FRANCE.